

the Parsha from the Rosh Yeshiva Shlit"a of Gur

In Our Fathers' Footsteps

When Moshe gathered Bnei Yisrael to be counted, the pasuk (Bamidbar 1:18) says, וַיְתִילְדוּ עַל מִשְׁכְּחתָם לְבֵית אֲבתָם and they established their genealogy according to their families. The word וְיִתְיָלִדוּ וַיִתְיָלִדוּ they were born, but what is its meaning in this pasuk? Rashi explains that they brought their sifrei yichus,

their genealogy records.

The Kedushas Levi, however, understands ויתילדו in its literal sense: they were born. How was this? The Gemara (Sanhedrin 58a) teaches that non-Jews' lineage follows the maternal line. This is why the nations are referred to as לאומים, which is related to the word אם, mother. But why is this so? When Chazal wish to emphasize that somebody is mere flesh and blood, they use the expression ילוד אשה, [one who was] born to a woman.¹ This is because a mother provides her children with their physicality. A father's job is to impart spirituality to his children, such as by teaching them Torah. The Gemara (Makkos 17b) relates a remark by one of the Chachamim: ידילידא אמיה כרבי שמעון תיליד" - One Yichus carries more prestige when its details are known, when one's ancestry is clear. But it runs even deeper than that; when one knows and internalizes his yichus, it has a great impact on his way of life.

נשמת

עקא עדנה צפזרה ע״ה בת משה מנחם הלוי ז״

23

whose mother will (shortly) give birth – let her give birth to a child like R. Shimon!" This *berachah* meant that even the mother's share of the child should be pure and refined like R. Shimon bar Yochai.

The *Kedushas Levi* explains that this is why the lineage of non-Jews follows the maternal line. When a person's entire life revolves around material pleasure – he eats to live and lives to eat – his whole existence is the physicality that is given to him by his mother.

Yidden, on the other hand, began to be traced by the paternal line, once they accepted the Torah and constructed the *Mishkan*, having become a nation that lives for *ruchniyus*. This, the *Kedushas Levi* says, is why the Torah states ויַתַּיָלָדוּ עָל מְשָׁפּוֹתֶם לְבֵית אָבֹתָם - *they* became born *accord*-

ing to the house of their fathers. They were born anew in such a way that henceforth their lineage would be spiritually enhanced and follow their fathers' line.

On a deeper level, we can see that the approaches of Rashi and the Kedushas Levi are one and the same. It is told that a man once approached R. Aharon of Belz and told him that he knows with certainty that he descends from R. Elimelech of Lizhensk but does not know the exact details of the genealogy. R. Aharon remarked, "אז מ'ווייסט, איז גאר עפעס אנדערשט - When one knows, it is entirely different." Yichus carries more prestige when its details are known, when one's ancestry is clear. But it runs even deeper than that; when one knows and internalizes his yichus, it has a great impact on

his way of life.

The pasuk (Melachim I 1:6) says about Adoniyahu, son of David Hamelech, וְלֹא עֲצְבוֹ אָבִיו מִיָּמִיו - All his days, his father had never saddened him. My father would often repeat the explanation of the Tiferes Shlomo², that Adoniyahu was never saddened by the fact that he hadn't become great like his father; his father was a tzaddik and he wasn't. You had a father

like David Hamelech; and how do you look?

2 Likutei Nach

See Shabbos 88b

Alei Deshe | 1

This, then, was the genealogy records that Bnei Yisrael brought with them. They knew clearly from whom they descended, and they improved their ways by following in their ancestors' footsteps. They were now reborn אבית אָבתָם - on their paternal line, and living the spiritual lives that their fathers had imparted to them.

This lesson is contained in Chazal's reference to Chumash Bamidbar as "Chumash HaPekudim."³ The word "pekudim" is related to the Gemara's expression (Pesachim 33b), מיפקד פקידי - contained internally. Chumash HaPekudim is about Klal Yisrael's internal koach which we inherit from our fathers. Every generation of Yidden maintains a connection to previous generations – the Avos, the Shevatim, and all who followed. Through tapping into לְבָית אֲבֹחָם (לְבָית אָבֹחָם), we can attain some of their great spiritual heights.

The Midrash⁴ relates that when Hashem commanded Moshe to organize the *shevatim* into specific encampments, he was distressed: "Now disputes will arise between the *shevatim*. If I instruct Shevet Yehudah to camp in the east, he [may] respond, 'I only want [to camp] in the south'; and so with each *shevet*." Hashem replied, "Moshe, why do you care? They don't need you. They know on their own where they should camp, by tradition from Yaakov. Just as they carried him and surrounded his bed, so will they surround the *Mishkan*."

This Midrash needs explanation. What connection is there between Klal Yisrael's positions around Yaakov's bed to their positions around the *Mishkan*? Based on the above it is clear. When Yaakov Avinu took leave of his sons, he imparted to them – and to all their future generations – a *koach* that would live inside them. Now, each one would know where he belongs and what he must achieve in this world.

• • •

3 See Yoma 68b, Sotah 36b

4 Bamidbar Rabbah 2:8

5 Bamidbar Rabbah 1:7

6 It is notable that we read Parshas Bamidbar (literally: "In the desert") before Shavuos, when we commemorate Mattan Torah.

Adding On

אִישׁ עַל דִּגְלוֹ בְאֹתֹת לְבֵית אֲבֹתֶם יַחֲנוּ בְּנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל, מְנֶגֶד סַבִיב לָאֹהֵל מוֹעֵד יַחֵנוּ

The Bnei Yisrael shall encamp, each man by his banner according to the insignias of their fathers' household; at a distance surrounding the Ohel Moed shall they encamp. (Bamidbar 2:2)

The pesukim continue by describing each *shevet*'s position around the *Mishkan*. The *mefarshim* point out that several times the pasuk introduces the next tribe with, "וָהַרוְנִים עָלָיו – Encamping near him are..."; but following Shevet Menashe, the

7 See Moshav Zekeinim

pasuk (2:20) simply says "אָעָלִיו מַטָּה מְנַשֶּׁה him are the tribe of Menashe," omitting the word "וָהַחֹרְים."

The *kadmonim*⁷ explain that Ephraim and Menashe aren't just neighbors; since they are both children of Yosef, they are like a single *shevet*. This is why they are connected simply with "וְּעָלִיוּ".

We may suggest another approach. The Gemara (Shabbos 10b) teaches a lesson learned from *mechiras Yosef*: לעולם אל ישנה אדם בנו בין הבנים - One should never treat one son differently than the others.

Chazal say⁵ that the Torah was given amidst three things: fire, water and desert. Fire: as the pasuk (Shemos 19:18) says, יְרָך אָלָי ה' בָּאַש - *All of Har Sinai was smoking, because Hashem had descended upon it in the fire.* Just as the Torah was given with fire, so we must learn it with a fire of warmth and excitement – this is one of the conditions of *kabbalas haTorah.* Water: as the pasuk (Shoftim 5:4) says, גָם שָׁמִים בָּטְפוּ מִים בָּם שָׁמִים בָּטְפוּ גַּם ven the heavens trickled; even the clouds dripped water. This refers to the purity that was necessary to accept the Torah; we, too, must attain purity to learn Torah.

The third condition necessary for *kabbalas haTorah* was "desert."⁶ The pasuk (Melachim I 2:34) says about Yoav, the general of the army of Yisrael, (Melachim I 2:34) and *he was buried at his house in the desert*. The Gemara (Sanhedrin 49a) wonders, *Was his house in the desert*? Doesn't a general usually live in the city, near the governmental establishment? The Gemara answers: "It was *like* a desert – just as a desert is free of theft and immorality, so was Yoav's house free of theft and immorality." The Maharsha explains that this was remarkable since these vices are often found in warriors. This, too, was the setting of *kabbalas haTorah*: "like a desert" – free of theft and immorality.

As we approach Shavuos and prepare to accept the Torah, we must remember that we are all warriors in the great war against the *yetzer hara*, and human nature is to desire theft and immorality (Makkos 23b). Yet every Yid has the ability to distance himself and rise above these evils. This must be our preparation for Shavuos – freeing ourselves of any trace of theft and immorality and attaching ourselves to Torah and *yiras Shamayim*. In this manner, we can be *zoche* to properly accept the Torah.

(במדבר תשפ"ב, ס"ג מאמר א)

ering that Yosef had been sold. Yet, when Yosef presented his own sons to Yaakov to be blessed, Yaakov gave precedence to the younger son, Ephraim, over Menashe, the *bechor*, as the pasuk (Bereishis 48:20) states, אָרְרָיָם לְרָרֵי מְנָשֶׁה *- and he put Ephraim before Menashe.* Rashi explains that he placed Ephraim's encampment before Menashe's. How could Yaakov have done this, following Yosef's experience? Evidently, Yaakov knew for certain that Menashe would accept this with no hard feelings or complaints whatsoever.

Certainly, Yaakov learned this lesson after discov-

The Zohar hakadosh⁸ states that one who is small is great. Menashe, holding himself small and lovingly accepting that his rightful prominence would go to his younger brother, attained a *madreigah* above Ephraim. Thus, the Torah writes about Ephraim, קנָשָׁה מְנַשָּׁה , which means literally, *Shevet Menashe is above him*.

Let us suggest another, deeper approach. Rachel named her son Yosef, saying (Bereishis 30:21), יָּסַר יּסַר אַיָּרָ בַּן אַחֵר - May Hashem add on for me another son. Yosef's name was an expression of Rachel's longing to gain more. She certainly didn't yearn for more gashmiyus; she pined for a profusion of ruchniyus. This idea is expressed in the following pasuk (Tehillim 146:2) as well: אַזַמְרָה לֵאלקִי בְּעוֹרִי - I will sing to my G-d בְּעוֹרִי , while I exist. A Yid's - uir , more – what he adds and increases to his basic obligations, growing in kedushah and distancing himself from sin – is a great song of praise to Hashem.⁹

Since Rachel instilled this *middah* of longing in Yosef; his progeny, in particular, excelled in it. As the pasuk (Yirmiyahu 31:19) says, הַבָּן יַקִיר לִי אֶכְרָיָם הַבָּן יַקִיר לִי אם יֶלָד שָׁעֲשָׁעִים כִּי מִדֵּי דְבְרִי בּוֹ זְכֹר אָזְכְרָנּוּ עוֹד Ephraim My favorite son or a delightful child, that whenever I speak of him I remember him more and more? When Hashem remembers Ephraim's עוֹד

- his yearning for additional growth in *kedushah* and *taharah* – Hashem remembers him with special love.

This is why the Torah doesn't say והַחּרִים ing Ephraim and Menashe. Yosef's children don't camp – they don't place their feet on the ground and resign themselves to their place and station. Rather, they are וְּעָלִיו , always reaching higher, limiting their indulgence in this world's pleasures in order to grow and ascend in *madreigos*.

The Gemara (Pesachim 68b) relates Rav Yosef's declaration in regard to Shavuos: אי לאו האי יומא אי לאו האי יומא אי לאו האי יומא - If not for this day's impact, how many Yosefs would there be in

the marketplace? Rav Yosef meant that if not for kabbalas haTorah, the inherent greatness of the name Yosef would have gone lost. What would any random Yosef seek to add – materialism? marketplace ventures? Thanks to the Torah we accepted on Shavuos, we can now pursue additional kedushah and growth in avodas Hashem.

Rav Yosef was the right person to say this. *Teshuvos HaGeonim* relates that Rav Yosef undertook not to look outside of his four *amos*. When he the ways of Yosef HaTzaddik. Every person can attain increasingly more *kedushah* by adding protective measures to limit his worldly indulgence and strengthen his *shemiras einayim*.

The Gemara¹² speaks of properly guarded eyes as עין שלא רצתה ליזון ממה שאינו שלו - *An eye that did* not seek to be satisfied by what did not belong to it.¹³ This is the proper approach: one shouldn't avoid sights because they are forbidden, but because they do not belong to him. A *talmid chacham*

What would any random Yosef seek to add – materialism? marketplace ventures? Thanks to the Torah we accepted on Shavuos, we can now pursue additional kedushah and growth in avodas Hashem.

could not keep his *kabbalah* without blinding himself, he proceeded to blind himself.¹⁰ Where did he get this strength of longing for added *kedushah*? From the *kabbalas haTorah* of Shavuos.

The Gemara (Berachos 55b) states that if one is fearful of *ayin hara*, he should say, "I, *ploni ben ploni*, am a descendant of Yosef, on whom *ayin hara* did not have power." The *mefarshim* wonder about this: one certainly cannot trick his way out of *ayin hara*; if he is not a descendant of Yosef, why would it help to say that he is? The Maharsha answers that all Jews are considered Yosef's children, as the pasuk (Tehillim 77:16) says, קַנְי יִעָקוֹב וְיוֹסַף סֶלָה Selah.¹¹

If any Yid can identify as Yosef's descendant to ward off *ayin hara*, most certainly he can tap into the *koach* of Yosef's name and attach himself to feels that this world is not his place,¹⁴ so he has no business looking out of his four *amos*. We can proclaim, "I am a descendant of Yosef!" and guard our eyes so they are sustained only on Torah and *kedushah*.

On Shavuos we go to greet the King, as the pasuk (Shemos 34:23) says, אָר כָּל זְכוּרְךּ אָת פְּנֵי הָאָדֹן ה' אֱלֹקֵי יִשְׂרָאַל - Three times a year all your males shall appear before the Master, Hashem, the G-d of Yisrael. As the Midrash¹⁵ teaches, if one shields his eyes from forbidden sights, he will be zoche to greet the Shechinah. In preparation for the Yom Tov when we accept the Torah, we must strengthen our shemiras einayim with kedushah and taharah, so that we may indeed draw close and merit to greet Hashem.

(במדבר תשפ"א, ס"ג מאמר ב)

9 See Ibra D'dasha, Yerach Ha'Eisanim p. 64-65

- 12 Berachos 20a, Zevachim 118b
- 13 See Berachos ibid, that this middah was the reason ayin hara didn't affect Yosef.

- 14 See Derech Eretz Zuta 1:1
- 15 Vayikra Rabbah 23:13

⁸ Vol. 1, 122b

¹⁰ See Ibra D'dasha, vol. 2 p. 141

¹¹ Similarly, the pasuk (Tehillim 80:2) states, – נְבָא וְיָםָר You Who leads Yosef like a flock. See Midrash Tehillim 3, and Baal HaTurim, Bereishis 48:16.

Na'aseh V'nishma: Valid Commitment?

*Shulchan Aruch*¹⁶ writes: "One who obligates himself in an unspecified matter (*davar she'eino katzuv*); for example, to supply his friend with food or clothes for five years (or if he did not give any limitation of years – *Rema*) – following the Rambam's opinion, he is not bound by this even if an act of *kinyan* was performed."

Chachmei Sefarad asked:¹⁷ According to this principle, how was Bnei Yisrael's declaration of *na'aseh v'nishma* binding? After all, they didn't know what they were accepting, so it was a *davar she'eino katzuv*.

By way of introduction, the reason an obligation of *davar she'eino katzuv* is not binding is because the one becoming obligated is not *gomer da'as*, he does not fully commit himself, since the obligation is not clearly defined. There is another scenario where lack of commitment invalidates a transaction: when dealing with a yet-nonexistent item (*davar shelo ba la'olam*).

Regarding a *davar shelo ba la'olam*, the Rivash¹⁸ maintains that it could be transacted before *Mattan Torah*. Accordingly, an unspecified obligation as well – sharing the same shortcoming as a *davar shelo ba la'olam* – would have been binding before *Mattan Torah*. Thus, Bnei Yisrael's acceptance of the Torah was valid.

One might argue that since with this very acceptance of the Torah, obligations of unspecified matters became non-binding, perhaps the acceptance could not have taken effect. But this is not so, because if indeed the acceptance were not valid, then a *davar she'eino katzuv* would be binding – and the acceptance *would* be valid. And once the acceptance was valid – Bnei Yisrael were bound to the Torah.¹⁹

In *Yeshuos Malko*²⁰ R. Yehoshua of Kutna cites an explanation from his father for why transactions of yet-nonexistent items

were valid before *Mattan Torah*. The Gemara (Shabbos 88b) states that the entirety of Creation hung in the balance until the day of *Mattan Torah*. Since before *Mattan Torah* all of Creation was in a state of limbo and nothing was considered fixed, there was no significant difference between something existent and something not-yet existent. Only after *Mattan Torah* did Creation attain permanence, so that existent items could be considered more fit for transaction than not-yet existent items.²¹

Following this explanation, we may consider what would have occurred had only part of Klal Yisrael accepted the Torah. Would the in-limbo status of the world have been settled, leading to the invalidation of *davar shelo ba la'olam* transactions, and by extension, of *davar she'eino katzuv* obligations; or did that only happen because all of Klal Yisrael accepted the Torah?

It would seem that the permanence of Creation would have been worthwhile even for a single Yid; certainly, if most of Bnei Yisrael had accepted the Torah, the world would have become fixed, since the world is judged in terms of majority.

This is what the *paytan* meant with, "דבאו כולם בברית יחד - And they all entered the covenant (of Torah) together." What is the significance of Bnei Yisrael having accepted the Torah *together*? If some Yidden would have accepted the Torah before others, Creation would have become fixed with the first group's acceptance, henceforth invalidating *davar she'eino katzuv* obligations, so the rest of Bnei Yisrael could not have said *na'aseh v'nishma*. Only because they all accepted the Torah together were they all able to do so with *na'aseh v'nishma*.

(בנאות דשא – חג השבועות ויומא דהילולא דרבינו האמרי אמת זי"ע, תשפ"א)

Published by Machon Alei Deshe of America

By Talmidim of Rabeinu, the Rosh Yeshiva of Gur, R' Shaul Alter Shlit"a, son of the Rebbe, the Pnei Menachem of Gur zy"a



Copyright © Machon Alei Deshe/ Kol Menachem Comments and suggestions are welcome To receive the gilyon by email sign up at subscribe@aleideshe.org

¹⁶ C.M. 60:2

¹⁷ See Sedei Chemed, Ma'areches 4, Davar She'eino Katzuv no. 8

^{18 328}

¹⁹ See Tosafos, Eiruvin 31b s.v. v'nasan (at the end); see also Kovetz Eitz Chaim, issue 1 from p. 63

²⁰ Likutei Torah, Toldos

²¹ See Ibra D'dasha vol. 2 p. 32 on this topic